Case 2:20-cr-00204-JAM Document 35 Filed 02/26/21 Page 1 of 3

| 1 | McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | ROBERT J. ARTUZ Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 Attorneys for Plaintiff | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | CASE NO. 2:20-CR-0204-JAM |
| 11 | Plaintiff, | AMENDED STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY |
| 12 | v. | TRIAL ACT; FINDINGS AND ORDER |
| 13 | HOPELYN RHIANNON AUSK, | DATE: March 2, 2021 |
| 14 | Defendant. | TIME: 9:30 a.m. COURT: Hon. John A. Mendez |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | This case was previously set for a status conference on March 2, 2021. The government and | |
| 18 | Defendant Hopelyn Rhiannon Ausk, by and through her counsel of record Douglas Beevers, (the | |
| 19 | "parties") now seek to continue the status conference to April 6, 2021, and exclude time under the | |
| 20 | Speedy Trial Act and Local Code T4 for defense preparation. | |
| 21 | STIPULATION | |
| 22 | Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and | |
| 23 | through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows: | |
| 24 | 1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on March 2, 2021. | |
| 25 | 2. By this stipulation, the defendant now moves to continue the status conference to April 6, | |
| 26 | 2021, and exclude time between March 2, 2021, and April 6, 2021, under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), | |
| 27 | B(iv) [Local Code T4]. | |
| 28 | 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following: | |
| | | 1 |

- 21
- 23
- 26 27
- 28

- a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case includes approximately 500 pages of police reports, search warrant returns, subpoena returns, photographs, EDD records, and bank/financial records, as well as several dozen jail call recordings. The government is all in the process of gathering, formatting, and producing additional evidence, including cellphone extractions and related forensic information. All this discovery has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.
- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to review the current charges, obtain and review discovery, conduct research and investigation into the charges and alleged acts, consult with his client, and otherwise prepare for trial.
- At this time, the defendant has no objection to the Court's continuance of the c) status conference to April 6, 2021, and agrees that such a continuance is necessary for effective preparation as outlined below.
- d) Counsel for defendant believes that the Court's continuance will provide them reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - The government does not object to the continuance. e)
- In addition to the public health concerns cited by the General Orders and f) declarations of judicial emergency, and presented by the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, an ends-of-justice delay is particularly apt in this case because defendant's counsel has relayed that he has been delayed in consulting with defendant due to the COVID-19 pandemic and visitation restrictions at the jail where the defendant is detained.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the g) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- h) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of March 2, 2021, to April 6, 2021, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.\(\} 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4]

Case 2:20-cr-00204-JAM Document 35 Filed 02/26/21 Page 3 of 3

because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of 1 2 the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. 3 Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the 4 Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial 5 must commence. 6 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 8 9 Dated: February 25, 2021 McGREGOR W. SCOTT **United States Attorney** 10 /s/ ROBERT J. ARTUZ 11 ROBERT J. ARTUZ Special Assistant U.S. Attorney 12 13 14 Dated: February 25, 2021 /s/ DOUGLAS BEEVERS **DOUGLAS BEEVERS** 15 Assistant Federal Defender Counsel for Defendant 16 HOPELYN RHIANNON AUSK 17 18 19 20 FINDINGS AND ORDER IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 25th day of February, 2021. 21 22 23 /s/ John A. Mendez 24 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 25 26 27 28